

Prospective Observational Assessment of the Radiological Outcome of total Hip Arthroplasty in Displaced Neck of Femur Fracture

Baidyanath Kumar¹, Swati Sinha², Krishna Kumar³

¹Senior 1Senior Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Vardhman Institute of Medical Science, Pawapuri, Nalanda, Bihar, India.

³Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 05-11-2021 / Revised: 23-11-2021 / Accepted: 18-12-2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Swati Sinha

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The Purpose of this study is to assess the Radiological outcome of Total Hip Arthroplasty in Displaced fracture Neck of femur.

Methods: This prospective observational study was carried out in the Department of Orthopedics, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for 18 months. Total 100 patients were patients treated with total hip replacement. Radiographic evaluation includes Loosening of the acetabulum and femoral components, Inclination of Acetabular cup, Stem position of femoral component, Vertical subsidence, Migration of the Acetabular cup and Heterotopic Ossification. Modified Harris hip score was used for clinical and functional evaluation of patients. Plain X-ray pelvis with both hips and proximal femur—AP view and X-ray of the operated hip lateral view for radiological evaluation.

Results: Out of 100, 74 patients were male and 26 female, most of the patients in were above 50 year and followed by 40-50 year. Patients scored 54% excellent, 27 good, 9% fair and 10% patients scored poor. In our study 79% neutral, 11% vertical and 10% horizontal position were seen. The ideal position of stem of femoral component is central. In this study we had 79% central, 13% each in valgus and 8% varus position. Varus position of the stem may lead to complications such as anterior thigh pain and periprosthetic fractures. There were 4% subsidence and 3% migration seen and Class II heterotopic ossification was noted in 6 hip, i.e., 5 % incidence who underwent THA.

Conclusion: we concluded that the total hip arthroplasty gave better results in displaced Intracapsular neck of femur fractures radiologically.

Keywords: arthroplasty, femur, fracture, outcome.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction:

Femoral neck fractures are frequent injuries in the patient population presenting to every trauma center and have a high incidence in the general population. An estimated 1.6 million people sustain a hip fracture every year. Each year, hip fractures are responsible for the loss of at least 2.35 million disability-adjusted life years, and more than five million people in the world experience disability from a hip fracture [1,3]. A hip fracture is a life-changing event for any patient, and the risk of disability, increased dependence, and death is substantial. Approximately half of the hip fractures are intracapsular femoral neck fractures. Paralleling trends of demographic forecasts, their incidence will continue to rise in the future. Especially in the elderly, femoral neck fractures represent a significant healthcare problem and have enormous effect on health insurance costs [1,3]. Management of femoral neck fractures in elderly patients has been controversial. Femoral neck fractures have been considered 'unsolvable fractures' in the older era of orthopaedics. Due to the high rate of associated complications, which include nonunion and avascular necrosis of the femoral head, among others. Presently, there are multiple surgical treatment options (cannulated screws, dynamic hip screw systems, blade plates, hemi and total hip arthroplasty) available. Intracapsular extent of the fracture, tenuous blood supply to the femoral head going through the neck and difficulty in maintaining fracture reduction have been cited as reasons for failure of fixation [4]. Although treatment methods have been refined over the years, a consensus on the ideal treatment remains elusive.

Important factors to consider in choosing any treatment modality are intrinsic, viz. patient age, general medical condition, type of fracture; and extrinsic, viz. availability of facilities and socio-economic status.

Though non-operative treatment of these fractures has been documented [1], there are currently very few indications for the same (being limited to terminally ill patients or those who are bedridden and non-ambulatory). Surgical treatment has been established as the gold standard; however, the surgical option remains a dilemma. Open reduction and internal fixation has been shown to have a high rate of revision surgery due to nonunion and avascular necrosis [2,6]. Hip replacement arthroplasty (partial or total) is emerging as the most viable treatment option [7,10]. Total hip replacement (THR) is established in osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis and has also been used as a primary procedure for displaced fractures of the femoral neck [11]. The major drawback has been the fear of dislocation, reported to occur in 11% (0 to 18). There have been few randomised controlled trials which have compared internal fixation (IF) with THR [6,11]. Despite a relatively high dislocation rate, particularly in patients with cognitive impairment [12], THR is recommended as the primary treatment because of the low rate of reoperation and better immediate function of the hip.

Material and methods

This prospective observational study was carried out in the Department of Orthopedics, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for 18 months. Total 100 patients were patients treated with total hip replacement.

Inclusion Criteria

- Displaced Intracapsular Neck of Femur Fracture
- Patients 42-62 years of age
- Non-union Neck of Femur

Exclusion Criteria

- Young patients
- Pathological femur fractures.
- Patients with neuromuscular disorders
- Infections
- failed cancellous screw fixation
- Intertrochantric fractures and associated acetabulum fractures

In our study we have decided to evaluate the radiological outcome of both cemented and un-cemented THA. We used Posterior approach in all the cases of THA and we used the second generation cementing techniques for cemented THA. Radiographic evaluation includes Loosening of the acetabulum and femoral components, Inclination of Acetabular cup, Stem position of femoral component, Vertical subsidence, Migration of the Acetabular cup and Heterotopic Ossification. Modified Harris hip score was used for clinical and functional evaluation of patients [13]. Plain X-ray pelvis with both hips and proximal femur—AP view and X-ray of the operated hip lateral view for radiological evaluation.

Results

Total 100 patients treated with total hip replacement for displaced fracture neck of

were included in this study. Regarding clinical and functional evaluation of study subjects using Harris hip score. Out of 100, 74 patients were male and 26 female, most of the patients in were above 50 year and followed by 40-50 year (Table 1). Patients scored 54% excellent, 27 good, 9% fair and 10% patients scored poor (Table 2). Observations and measurements were done on the AP radiograph of pelvis and AP/Lateral view of operated hip. The normal acetabular cup inclination is 30° to 45°. In our study 79% neutral, 11% vertical and 10% horizontal position were seen. The ideal position of stem of femoral component is central. In this study we had 79% central, 13% each in valgus and 8% varus position. Varus position of the stem may lead to complications such as anterior thigh pain and periprosthetic fractures. There were 4% subsidence and 3% migration seen and Class II heterotopic ossification was noted in 6 hip, i.e., 5% incidence who underwent THA. Out of 100, 5 patient with class II heterotrophic ossification seen. The bone marrow and debris escape when uncemented femoral implant is used however there is less chance for this when cemented implant is used. We had 4 case of dislocation (4%). The dislocation occurred during the 2nd month of the surgery at home. (Table 3).

Table 1: Demographic profile

Gender	Number of patients =100	Percentage
Male	74	74
Female	26	26
Age		
Below 40 years	Nil	Nil
40-50 years	24	24
Above 50	76	76
Cemented/uncemented		
Cemented	55	55
Uncemented	45	45
Side		
Right	64	64
Left	36	36

Table 2: Clinical and functional evaluation of study subjects using Harris hip score

Harris hip score	Number of patients	Percentage
Excellent (90-100)	54	54
Good (80-89)	27	27
Fair (70-79)	9	9
Poor (<70)	10	10

Table 3: Complications

Complications	Number of patients	Percentage
Loosening of the acetabulum and femoral components	Absent	%
Acetabular cup inclination		
Normal (30-45 degrees)	79	79
Vertical (> 45 degrees)	11	11
Horizontal cup (<30 degrees)	10	10
Femoral stem position		
central	79	79
valgus	13	13
varus	8	8
subsidence	4	4
Migration of Acetabular cup	3	3
Heterotrophic ossification	5	5
Dislocation	4	4

Discussion

Femur neck fracture continues to be regarded as the 'unsolved fracture'. There is still no agreement on the optimal operative treatment of displaced sub capital fractures in the elderly. Controversy exists regarding rehabilitation, durability of internal fixation, and the type of prosthetic replacement [14]. The reported rates of complications, revision rates, and patient dissatisfaction after hemiarthroplasty arise the need of other methods of joint replacement. However, most published results give solid evidence that primary THR gives better functional results in patients with femur neck fractures [15]. Total hip arthroplasty, or surgical replacement of the hip joint with an artificial prosthesis, is a reconstructive procedure that has improved the management of those diseases of the hip joint that have responded poorly to conventional medical therapy. Current evidence suggests that traditional

total hip replacements last more than 10 years in more than 90% of patients. More than 90% of patients report having either no pain or pain that is manageable with use of occasional over-the-counter medications. The large majority of hip replacement patients are able to walk unassisted (i.e. without use of a cane) without any limp for reasonably long distances. Like any major surgical procedure total hip replacement is associated with certain medical and surgical risks. Although major complications are uncommon they may occur.

John C and W.H. Harris [16] (1999) presented a series of 188 Harris-Galante porous coated acetabular components that were followed for an average of 122 months. The hips were evaluated with Judet radiographs as well as anteroposterior and true lateral radiographs. 4% (8 hips) had osteolytic lesions of the pelvis and less than

1% (1hip) had an osteolytic lesion that necessitated bone grafting.

Engh C.A. Jr et al [17] (1997) reported in his series, 174 hips were followed for a minimum of 10 years. A total of 7 acetabular components, i.e., 4.02% of the 174 hips were radiographically loose. 4 patients of the 174 hips had symptomatic loosening of the acetabular cup, and the cup was revised eight, nine, ten and 12 years after index arthroplasty. The other 3 hips were not revised because they were not causing any symptoms. The average duration between the index operation and the diagnosis of loosening was 7.8 years. In our study there were no Loosening of the acetabulum and femoral components.

This procedure is riddled with a large number of long-term complications ranging from dislocations including recurrent dislocations. Primary endoprosthetic replacement has been advocated to improve survival by eliminating fracture fixation and healing problems and by allowing early mobilization. Conventional treatment for fracture neck of femur, grade 1 and 2, is open reduction and internal fixation, whereas, that for grade 3 and 4 is still controversial. Regarding functional outcome, our study showed that hip replacement for patient in non traumatic group had better outcome as indicated by better Harris hip score than the traumatic group. We had 4 case of dislocation (4%). The dislocation occurred during the 2nd month of the surgery at home. The patient was treated by open reduction and trochantric osteotomy. Another study observed increased rate of dislocation following posterior approach. His study shows dislocation rate of 2.8% following posterior approach. His findings are in concordance with our observations [18]. Philips studied incidence rates of dislocation along with other parameters after elective total hip replacement and observed dislocation rate of 3.9% [19]. The incidence of dislocation was highest during the

immediate post-operative period but remain elevated throughout the first three post operative months. The normal acetabular cup inclination is 30° to 45°. In our study 79% neutral, 11% vertical and 10% horizontal position were seen. The ideal position of stem of femoral component is central. In this study we had 79% central, 13% each in valgus and 8% varus position. Varus position of the stem may lead to complications such as anterior thigh pain and periprosthetic fractures. Till the most recent review the femoral stem position has not changed in any patients. In this study there were 4% subsidence and 3% migration. It is seen on x-rays only 3 weeks post operatively, following THA and well defined in 6 months [20,22]. The incidence of heterotrophic ossification ranges from 5% to 90% in various literatures [23,24]. In our study Class II heterotopic ossification was noted in 5 hip, i.e., 5 % incidence who underwent THA. The bone marrow and debris escape when uncemented femoral implant is used however there is less chance for this when cemented implant is used. The increased distribution of bone debris or marrow elements locally could lead to the stimulation. In a study by William J. Maloney and William H. Harris,(1991) the incidence of heterotopic ossification in an uncemented group and a hybrid group were compared. 53 uncemented and 82 hybrid (uncemented acetabular component and cemented femoral component) total hip replacements with minimum follow up of 1 year were reviewed. In the group who had uncemented hip replacement, there was a statistically significant increase in the incidence of heterotopic ossification. No relationship between the age of patient and formation of new bone was established. In our study we had 2 case of heterotopic ossification who underwent uncemented conversion THR. In a study by Ravikumar et al [25] they reviewed a total of 134 uncemented hips with average follow up of

83 months. Based on Brooker classification Heterotopic ossification was rated as Brooker Class I in 68 hips, Class II in 17 hips, Class III in 3 hips and Class IV in 2 hips, i.e., 50.7% in Class I, 12.7% in Class II, 2.2% in Class III and 1.5% in Class IV. In our study Class II heterotopic ossification was noted in 6 hip, i.e., 6 % incidence who underwent THA.

Conclusion

We concluded that the total hip arthroplasty gave better results in displaced Intracapsular Neck of femur Fracture radiologically.

Reference

1. Miyamoto RG, Kaplan KM, Levine BR, Egol KA, Zuckerman JD. Surgical management of hip fractures: an evidence-based review of the literature. I: femoral neck fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2008; 16:596–607.
2. Macaulay W, Pagnotto MR, Iorio R, Mont MA, Saleh KJ. Displaced femoral neck fractures in the elderly: hemiarthroplasty versus total hip arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2006; 14:287–293.
3. Dai Z, Li Y, Jiang D. Meta-analysis comparing arthroplasty with internal fixation for displaced femoral neck fracture in the elderly. *J Surg Res* 2011; 165:68–74.
4. Parker MJ. The management of intracapsular fractures of the proximal femur. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2000;82:937–41.
5. Skinner P, Riley D, Ellery J, Beaumont A, Coumine R, Shafiqian B. Displaced subcapital fractures of the femur: A prospective randomized comparison of internal fixation, hemiarthroplasty and total hip replacement. *Injury*. 1989;20:291–3.
6. Van Vugt AB, Oosterwijk WM, Goris RJ. Osteosynthesis versus endoprostheses in the treatment of unstable intracapsular hip fractures in the elderly: A randomized clinical trial. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 1993;113:39–45.
7. Davison JN, Calder SJ, Anderson GH, Ward G, Jagger C, Harper WM, et al. Treatment for displaced intracapsular fracture of the proximal femur: A prospective, randomized trial in patients aged 65 to 79 years. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2001;83:206–12.
8. Rogmark C, Carlsson Å, Johnell O, Sernbo I. A prospective randomized trial of internal fixation versus arthroplasty for displaced fractures of the neck of the femur: Functional outcome for 450 patients at two years. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2002;84:183–8.
9. Søreide O, Mølster A, Raugstad TS. Internal fixation versus primary prosthetic replacement in acute femoral neck fractures: A prospective, randomized clinical study. *Br J Surg*. 1979;66:56–60.
10. Sikorski JM, Barrington R. Internal fixation versus hemiarthroplasty for the displaced subcapital fracture of the femur: A prospective randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1981;63:357–61.
11. Skinner P, Riley D, Ellery J, et al. Displaced subcapital fractures of the femur: a prospective randomised comparison of internal fixation, hemiarthroplasty and total hip replacement. *Injury* 1989;20:291-3.
12. Johansson T, Jacobsson SA, Ivarsson I, Knutsson A, Wahlström O. Internal fixation versus total hip arthroplasty in the treatment of displaced femoral neck fractures: a prospective randomised study of 100 hips. *Acta Orthop Scand* 2000;71:597-602.
13. Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: Treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result

evaluation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1969;51:737-55.

14. Baker RP, Squires B, Gargan MF, Bannister GC. Total hip arthroplasty and hemiarthroplasty in mobile, independent patients with a displaced intracapsular fracture of the femoral neck. A randomized, controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; 88:2583-2589.

15. Barnett AJ, Burston BJ, Atwal N, Gillespie G, Omari AM, Squires B. Large diameter femoral head uncemented total hip replacement to treat fractured neck of femur. *Injury* 2009; 40:752-755.

16. William H. Harris, John. The Harris Galante porous coated acetabular component with screw fixation. *J Bone J Surg* 1999;81-A:66-73.

17. Engh C, AJR Culpepper, W.J H and Engh. CA long term results of use of anatomic medullary locking prosthesis in total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1997;79-A:177-84.

18. Goldstein WM, Gleans TF. Prevalence of dislocation after total hip arthroplasty through a poster lateral approach with partial capsulotomy and capsulorrhaphy. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2001;83(2 suppl 1):S2-7.

19. Phillips CB, Barrett JA, Losina E, Mahomed NN, Lingard EA, Guadagnoli E, et al. Incidence rates of dislocation, pulmonary embolism, and deep infection during the first six months after elective total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2003;85- A(1):20-6.

20. Delee Jesse, Ferrari, Antonio and Charnley. John ectopic bone formation following low friction arthroplasty of hip. *Clin Orthop* 1976;121:53-7.

21. Ritter MA and Gioe. The effect of indomethacin on periarticular ectopic ossification following THA. *Clin Orthop* 1982;167:113-7.

22. Hamblen D. Lectopic ossification in complications of THR. Edited by R.S.M ling London, Churchill Livingston 1984.

23. Chapman's orthopaedic surgery-4 th edition.

24. Rosendahl S, Christofferson J.K and Norgaaid M. Para articular ossification following hip replacement. 70 arthroplasties ad modum moore using mc Farland approach. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1977;48:400-4.

25. Ravikumar J Kasetti A. Shetty and C. Rand Heterotrophic ossification after uncemented hydroxy appatite coated THR. *J Arthroplasty* 2001;16(8):1038-42.